

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,495	03/24/2006	Kazumi Naito	Q77806	9323
25373. 7550 1022(2501) SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYL VANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAMINER	
			CRAWFORD, LATANYA N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2813	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/21/2011	ELECTRONIC

### Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@sughrue.com sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/573,495 NAITO, KAZUMI Applicant-Initiated Interview Summary Evaminer Art Unit LATANYA N. CRAWFORD 2813 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) LATANYA N. CRAWFORD. (3) Abraham J. Rosner (2) \_\_\_\_\_. (4)\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 11 October 2011. Type: □ Telephonic □ Video Conference Personal (copy given to: applicant applicant's representativel Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes M No. If Yes, brief description: . Issues Discussed \$\Pi101 \Pi112 \Pi102 \Rightarrow 103 \Pi0thers (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion) Claim(s) discussed: 5-21 and 23-27. Identification of prior art discussed: See Continuation Sheet. Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...) See Continuation Sheet. Applicant recordation instructions: The formal written reply to the last Office action must include the substance of the interview. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, applicant is given a non-extendable period of the longer of one month or thirty days from this interview date, or the mailing date of this interview summary form, whichever is later, to file a statement of the substance of the interview Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised. ☐ Attachment /LATANYA N CRAWFORD/ /Matthew C. Landau/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2813

#### Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application witherer or not an apprenent with the examinent was reached at the interview.

## Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1,135 (35 U.S.C. 132)

#### 37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview accordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is comprehely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no secarate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents' section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephoneror video-conference interview, the copy is malied to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate. the Form should be malied or promotty after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the interview Summay Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the
- Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
  - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is unitidient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made the examiner can be understood in the control of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feets were or minth be persuasive to the examiner.)
- describe trose arguments which he of she leefs were of might be persuasive to the examiner.
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

#### **Examiner to Check for Accuracy**

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Application No. 10/573,495

Continuation of Identification of prior art discussed: Yoshida (US Pub no. 2003/0133256 A1)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed fig. 3 para [0052] of Yoshida (US Pub no. 2003/0133256 A1). Yoshida discloses that a polymerization anode (positive electrode) 7 and a polymerization cathode (negative electrode) 8 are immersed in the polymerization solution 9. The anode 7 and the cathode 8 are onnected to a power source 12. The anode 7 is fixed near the film formation substrate 10. In that case, it is preferable that the anode 7 and the cathode 8 are arranged such that at least a portion of the film formation substrate 10 is disposed between the electrodes 7 and 8, as shown FIG. 3. Applicant's representative emphasized that the film formation layer 10 is not grounded and not connected to any circuit.

Applicant's invention discloses that the electric conductor and the negative electrode are attached to the power supply (the electric conductor is connected to a positive terminal or power supply) and connecting the negative electrode to a negative terminal of the power supply) having current pass between them.

Applicant has provided a proposed amendment to independent claims 20 & 21 :"dipping a negative electrode and the electric conductor having formed on the surface thereof the dielectric layer in a semiconductor layer-formation solution and applying a positive potential to the electric conductor and a negative potential to the negative electrode so as to pass an electric current between the electric conductor and the negative electrode."

Applicant's represented indicated that "applying a positive potential to the electric conductor" means that the electric conductor is attached to the power supply (the electric conductor is connected to a positive terminal or power supply and the connecting the negative electrode to a negative terminal of the power supply).

Examiner notes that the proposed amendment appears to overcome Yoshida (US Pub no. 2003/0133256 A1). Furthermore, it was agreed that drawings would be provided to show the proposed added features of claims 20 & 21.